UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,282	10/28/2003	Glenn Thomas Jordan IV	9083M&	3958
	7590 01/31/200 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY DIVI	HARDEE, JOHN R		
WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT,	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	01/31/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/695,282	JORDAN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		John R. Hardee	1751	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				•
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This ince this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 1-3,5-13,22 and 23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3, 5-13, 22 and 23 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	awn from consideration.  d.  or election requirement.	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority ı	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/695,282 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 14, 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5-13, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites that the cationic monomer must be capable of being protonated, yet A can still be a hard quat. This is inconsistent, and therefore indefinite. Applicant has argued in the most recent response that the monomer cannot be a hard quat, so it appears that "or NR6R7R8" and the definition of R8 should be deleted from claim 1. Until applicant does this, the originally cited prior art remains applicable.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,282 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

## **Double Patenting**

4. Claims 1-3, 5-13, 22 and 23 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 5-10 and 12-22 of copending Application No. 10/698,309 for the reasons of record in the previous office action.

5. Claims 1-3, 11-13, 22 and 23 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 10 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 7,125,835. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent recites compositions comprising a fragrance material which is encapsulated by a polymer, said polymer being coated by a cationic polymer. Use of acrylate polymers is recited in claim 7. This patent differs from the presently claimed subject matter in that it does not recite a composition which reads on the present claims with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make such a composition, because this reference recites compositions with a genus-species relationship with the compositions presently recited by applicants for inclusion in a surfactant composition. The person of ordinary skill in the surfactant art would expect the recited compositions to have properties similar to those compositions which are exemplified, absent a showing to the contrary.

In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257,

Art Unit: 1751

191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed Cir. 1990).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-13, 22 and 23 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 925,776 for the reasons of record in the previous office action.

  Note that styrene, a nonionic monomer, is a preferred functional monomer, and it is disclosed as an equivalent of methacrylic acid, the other preferred functional monomer.

  A copolymer of styrene and a quaternized ammonium alkyl(meth)acrylate, disclosed as a suitable comonomer, would have a net cationic charge. In fact, the disclosure of the reference implies that a quaternized ammonium alkyl(meth)acrylate homopolymer would be a suitable polymer [0017].
- 8. Claims 1-3, 5-13, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/04257. The reference is in German. Reference is made to column and line in English-language equivalent US 6,849,591. The reference discloses microcapsules having a core of hydrophobic material and a capsule shell of addition polymer containing most preferably 10-100% by weight of cationogenic monomer; 0-95% of neutral polyethylenically unsaturated comonomers and 0-80% of crosslinking monomers of which at least one bond is acid hydrolyzable (col. 7, lines 21+). Suitable hydrophobic materials include perfumes, and suitable perfumes are disclosed at col. 3, lines 17+. Suitable cationogenic monomers include N,N-dimethylaminoethyl

Art Unit: 1751

methacrylate (col. 6, lines 48-50). Suitable neutral monomers are disclosed at col. 7, lines 41+. If crosslinking monomers are present, the polymers will be insoluble in water. The microcapsule formulations may be added to fabric softeners (col. 11, lines 21-23). A particle size range is not disclosed, but the particles made in Example 1 have a diameter of 1-10 microns (col. 17, lines 58-60). This reference differs from the claimed subject matter in that it does not disclose a composition which reads on applicant's claims with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make such a composition, because this reference teaches that all of the ingredients recited by applicants are suitable for inclusion in a surfactant composition. The person of ordinary skill in the surfactant art would expect the recited compositions to have properties similar to those compositions which are exemplified, absent a showing to the contrary.

In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed Cir. 1990).

- 9. Any prior art made of record and not relied upon is of interest and is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Art Unit: 1751

Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Mr. Douglas McGinty, may be reached at (571) 272-1029.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John R. Hardee Primary Examiner January 23, 2007